

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,673		07/16/2003	Hidemasa Iijima	2003-0972A	7051	
513	7590	04/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WENDER	OTH, LI	ND & PONACK, L.	HALPER	HALPERN, MARK		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1731		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astion Comments		10/619,673	IIJIMA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark Halpern	1731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solid part of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according according to the correct Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine according to the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath or declaration according to the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath or declaration according to the oath or declaration according to the oath or declaration according	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	
Priority	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this Nationa	l Stage
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>[1] 19</u> 0 ラ ; 12 14 0 4 ; 10 2 5 0	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PT	⁻ O-152)

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1) Claims 1-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oechsle (DE 19956752).

Claim 1; Oechsle discloses a paper machine that includes a plurality of belt mechanisms on which a formed wet web is transferring from press unit in nip between rolls 6 and 7, to press unit in nip between rolls 8 and 9, said traveling on belts 3, 13, 4, 14, respectively, to a first dryer unit 12 and then to a second dryer unit. The last press unit, the first dryer unit and the second dryer unit include a driving mechanism, thus having the ability to have the transfer speed of said units individually set and controlled from control 17 (Figure).

Claims 2-8: the speed at which a first dryer unit, a second dryer unit, or a press unit is set in a transfer of a wet web is a method operational and not a structural limitation that is differentiating over the cited prior art in an apparatus claim.

Art Unit: 1731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 9-16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oechsle, with or without Weitzel (DE 9207656) and Sollinger (6,024,836).

Claim 9: Oechsle is applied as above for claim 1, Oechsle does not disclose other drying units arranged downstream of the first and the second dryer unit, however, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the Oechsle uses only two dryer units as an example, and would provide additional dryer units downstream of the second dryer unit including individual drive speed setting and control to accomplish the required drying of the formed web. If necessary, Weitzel and Sollinger disclose additional dryer sets following the second dryer sets, each drying set containing at least one dryer unit in a set following the second dryer set, each dryer set having individual speed setting and control means.

Claims 10-16: the speed at which a first dryer unit, a second dryer unit, another dryer unit past the second dryer, or a press unit is set in a transfer of a wet web is a method operational and not a structural limitation that is differentiating over the cited prior art in an apparatus claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,673

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

3) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Halpern

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1731